

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/748,247

Applicant(s)

TAKEMOTO, FUMITO

Examiner

Sherali Ishrat

Art Unit

2624

## All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) D. Richard Anderson.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11-15-08

Time: 1:00 PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

20-22 (Cancelled)

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that application is in condition for allowance because Applicant has incorporated indicated allowable subject matter in all independent claims. However in the amendment Applicant has indicated cancel claims 20-22 (Canceled), and Applicant has also presented claim 22 which is wrong and non-responsive amendment. Amendment should indicate cancel claim 20-21. Applicant's Attorney agreed to make necessary changes to claims by an examiner's amendment.